



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/582,025

06/08/2006

David Virette

P1921US

1368

8968 7590 06/28/2010  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
ATTN: PATENT DOCKET DEPT.  
191 N. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 3700  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

BORSETTI, GREG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/28/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbi.com  
IPDockets@dbi.com

**Notice of Allowability**

Application No.

10/582,025

Examiner

GREG A. BORSETTI

Applicant(s)

VIRETTE ET AL.

Art Unit

2626

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to IDS received 6/8/2010.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-29.
3. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),  
Paper No./Mail Date 6/8/2010
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),  
Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_.

/Greg A. Borsetti/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. Claims 1-29 have been allowed.
  2. The references cited in the submitted IDS do not read on the claims as allowed.
- Reasons are supplied below.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on 6/8/2010 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

3. Claims 1-29 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per claim 1, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest along or in reasonable combination the limitations of:

A method for operating a coding apparatus comprising at least a first coder and a second coder that are interconnected, a processor unit, and a processor unit memory, comprising:

providing a multiple compression coding via a plurality of coding techniques by the interconnected first coder and second coder;

feeding a common input signal in parallel to at least the first and second coder, each coder comprising a succession of functional units for compression coding of said input signal by each of the first and second coders, the first and second coders respectively comprising at least a first and a second shared functional unit for performing common operations;

calculating, by at least a part of the functional units with the processor unit, respective parameters for coding of the input signal by each coder;

performing calculations for delivering, across a coder interconnection, a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders;

if at least one of the first and the second coder operates at a rate that is different from a rate of a common functional unit, adapting the parameters to the respective rate of at least one respective said first coder and said second coder in order to be used by the at least one of said first and second functional unit respectively; and

if the first and the second coders operate at a rate that is the same as a rate of a common functional unit, then providing the parameters to the first and second functional units without adaptation.

Kolesnik provides a parallel multimodal coding scheme, but does not teach multiple compression coding because Kolesnik teaches that only one of the encoders is

Art Unit: 2626

selected to operate at a time. (See Remarks 3/15/2010, Page 14, ¶ 2)

Jabri teaches shared generic parameters for CELP coders (Fig. 3) which can be provided to more than one coder. Jabri, however, fails to teach wherein the first and second coders comprise at least a first and second shared functional unit for performing common operations. Jabri teaches generic pre-processing but does not teach sharing of parameters across a coder interconnection in a same step and in a shared function unit for processing of a common input signal by the coders.

Seo provides rate adaptation between coders based on classification. Seo does not address "performing calculations for delivering, across a coder interconnection, a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders."

Gao teaches speech compression using multiple rate codecs which can be selectively chosen. (abstract) However, Gao does not teach parameter adaptation or execution of common functions. (See Remarks, 5/27/2009, pages 14-15)

Peng teaches a speech coder for coding an information signal varies the codebook configuration based on parameters inherent in the information signal. (abstract) However, Peng does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Marchok teaches a centralized voice activity detection which provides an indication of voice activity to a plurality of voice processing blocks. (abstract) However,

Art Unit: 2626

Marchok does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Claims 2-23, and 28 depend on, and further limit independent claim 1 and are therefore considered allowable using the same rationale.

As per claim 24, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest along or in reasonable combination the limitations of:

A non-transitory computer program product, comprising:

a computer readable medium storing a computer program product in memory,  
said computer readable medium including instructions for implementing a  
multiple compression coding method for operating a coding apparatus  
comprising at least a first coder and a second coder that are  
interconnected, and that both utilize a plurality of coding techniques, the  
apparatus being fed with a common input signal, said common input  
signal being inputted in parallel to at least the first and second  
interconnected coders, each of the first and second coders comprising a  
succession of functional units, for compression coding of the common  
input signal by each of the first and second coders,  
at least a part of said functional units performing calculations for delivering,  
across a coder interconnection, respective parameters for the coding of  
the input signal by each coder,

the first and second coders respectively comprising at least a first and a second shared functional unit arranged for performing common operations,

wherein

calculations for delivering a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit are performed in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders,

if at least one of the first and the second coder operates at a rate which is

different from the rate of said common functional unit, the parameters are adapted to the rate of the respective at least one of the first and second coder in order to be used by the at least one of the respective first and second functional unit; and

if the first and the second coders operate at a rate that is the same as a rate of a common functional unit, then the parameters are provided to the first and second functional units without adaptation.

Kolesnik provides a parallel multimodal coding scheme, but does not teach multiple compression coding because Kolesnik teaches that only one of the encoders is selected to operate at a time. (See Remarks 3/15/2010, Page 14, ¶ 2)

Jabri teaches shared generic parameters for CELP coders (Fig. 3) which can be provided to more than one coder. Jabri, however, fails to teach wherein the first and second coders comprise at least a first and second shared functional unit for performing common operations. Jabri teaches generic pre-processing but does not teach sharing of

Art Unit: 2626

parameters across a coder interconnection in a same step and in a shared function unit for processing of a common input signal by the coders.

Seo provides rate adaptation between coders based on classification. Seo does not address "calculations for delivering a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit are performed in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders".

Gao teaches speech compression using multiple rate codecs which can be selectively chosen. (abstract) However, Gao does not teach parameter adaptation or execution of common functions. (See Remarks, 5/27/2009, pages 14-15)

Peng teaches a speech coder for coding an information signal varies the codebook configuration based on parameters inherent in the information signal. (abstract) However, Peng does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Marchok teaches a centralized voice activity detection which provides an indication of voice activity to a plurality of voice processing blocks. (abstract) However, Marchok does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

As per claim 25, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest along or in reasonable combination the limitations of:

A system for assisting multiple compression coding, comprising:

a multiple compression coding apparatus comprising:



Art Unit: 2626

at least a first coder and a second coder that are interconnected, the apparatus being fed with a common input signal, said common input signal being inputted in parallel to at least the interconnected first and the second coders, each of the first and second coders comprising a succession of functional units, for compression coding via a plurality of coding techniques of the common input signal by each of the interconnected first and second coders,

at least a part of said functional units performing calculations for delivering, across a coder interconnection, respective parameters for the coding of the common input signal by each interconnected coder,

the first and second coders respectively comprising at least a first and a second shared functional unit arranged for performing common operations, and a memory storing instructions for implementing by a processor unit a method for operating the system,

wherein

calculations for delivering a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit are performed in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders, and

if at least one of the first and the second coder operates at a rate which is different from the rate of said common functional unit, the parameters are adapted to the rate of the respective at least one of the first and second

Art Unit: 2626

coder in order to be used by the respective at least one of the first and second functional unit, respectively; and

if the first and the second coders operate at a rate that is the same as a rate of a common functional unit, then the parameters are provided to the first and second functional units without adaptation.

Kolesnik provides a parallel multimodal coding scheme, but does not teach multiple compression coding because Kolesnik teaches that only one of the encoders is selected to operate at a time. (See Remarks 3/15/2010, Page 14, ¶ 2)

Jabri teaches shared generic parameters for CELP coders (Fig. 3) which can be provided to more than one coder. Jabri, however, fails to teach wherein the first and second coders comprise at least a first and second shared functional unit for performing common operations. Jabri teaches generic pre-processing but does not teach sharing of parameters across a coder interconnection in a same step and in a shared function unit for processing of a common input signal by the coders.

Seo provides rate adaptation between coders based on classification. Seo does not address "calculations for delivering a same set of parameters to the first functional unit and to the second functional unit are performed in a same step and in a shared functional unit for processing of the common input signal by the coders".

Gao teaches speech compression using multiple rate codecs which can be selectively chosen. (abstract) However, Gao does not teach parameter adaptation or execution of common functions. (See Remarks, 5/27/2009, pages 14-15)

Art Unit: 2626

Peng teaches a speech coder for coding an information signal varies the codebook configuration based on parameters inherent in the information signal. (abstract) However, Peng does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Marchok teaches a centralized voice activity detection which provides an indication of voice activity to a plurality of voice processing blocks. (abstract) However, Marchok does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Claim 26 depends on, and further limits independent claim 25 and is therefore considered allowable using the same rationale.

As per claim 27, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest along or in reasonable combination the limitations of:

A multiple compression coding method, comprising:

providing a multiple compression coding via a plurality of coding techniques by a plurality of coders comprising at least a first coder and a second coder that are interconnected;

feeding a common input signal in parallel to an apparatus comprising the plurality of coders, each including a succession of functional units for compression coding of said signal by each coder, wherein each coder comprises a different combination of functional units;

identifying the functional units forming each coder and one or more functions implemented by each unit;

marking functions that are equivalent from one coder to another;

selecting a function executed by a given coder amongst the functions that are equivalent, and executing, via a processor unit, said functions with parameters provided across a coder interconnection related to the given coder only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the interconnected coders in a shared common calculation module;

adapting a result obtained from the execution of the function in the selecting and executing step for a use in at least a part of the plurality of coders; and

producing and feeding a coded output signal from the apparatus based at least in part on the common functions.

Kolesnik provides a parallel multimodal coding scheme, but does not teach multiple compression coding because Kolesnik teaches that only one of the encoders is selected to operate at a time. (See Remarks 3/15/2010, Page 14, ¶ 2)

Jabri teaches shared generic parameters for CELP coders (Fig. 3) which can be provided to more than one coder using generic pre-processing. Jabri, however, fails to teach wherein the plurality of coders each comprise a succession of functional units and selecting a function executed by a given coder amongst the functions that are equivalent to execute said functions with parameters provided across a coder

Art Unit: 2626

interconnection related to the given coder only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the interconnected coders in a shared common calculation module.

Seo provides rate adaptation between coders based on classification. Seo does not address "selecting a function executed by a given coder amongst the functions that are equivalent, and executing, via a processor unit, said functions with parameters provided across a coder interconnection related to the given coder only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the interconnected coders in a shared common calculation module".

Gao teaches speech compression using multiple rate codecs which can be selectively chosen. (abstract) However, Gao does not teach parameter adaptation or execution of common functions. (See Remarks, 5/27/2009, pages 14-15)

Peng teaches a speech coder for coding an information signal varies the codebook configuration based on parameters inherent in the information signal. (abstract) However, Peng does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Marchok teaches a centralized voice activity detection which provides an indication of voice activity to a plurality of voice processing blocks. (abstract) However, Marchok does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

As per claim 29, the closest known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest along or in reasonable combination the limitations of:

Art Unit: 2626

A multiple compression coding method, comprising:

feeding a common input signal in parallel to an apparatus comprising a plurality of coders that are interconnected, each including a succession of functional units for compression coding of said common signal by each coder, wherein each coder comprises a different combination of functional units;

identifying the functional units forming each coder and one or more functions implemented by each unit;

marking functions that are common from one coder to another;

executing, via a processor unit, said common functions only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the coders in a shared common calculation module, based on parameters provided across a coder interconnection; and

producing and feeding a coded output signal from the apparatus based at least in part on the common functions;

wherein

said calculation module is independent of said coders and is adapted to redistribute results obtained in the executing step to all the coders; and

the independent module and the functional unit or units of at least one of the coders are adapted to exchange results obtained in the executing step with each other and the calculation module is adapted to effect adaptation transcoding between functional units of different interconnected coders.

Kolesnik provides a parallel multimodal coding scheme, but does not teach multiple compression coding because Kolesnik teaches that only one of the encoders is selected to operate at a time. (See Remarks 3/15/2010, Page 14, ¶ 2)

Jabri teaches shared generic parameters for CELP coders (Fig. 3) which can be provided to more than one coder using generic pre-processing. Jabri, however, fails to teach wherein the plurality of coders that are interconnected, each comprise a succession of functional units and selecting a function executed by a given coder amongst the functions that are equivalent to execute said common functions only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the coders in a shared common calculation module, based on parameters provided across a coder interconnection.

Seo provides rate adaptation between coders based on classification. Seo does not address "executing, via a processor unit, said common functions only one time for the common input signal for at least some of the coders in a shared common calculation module, based on parameters provided across a coder interconnection".

Gao teaches speech compression using multiple rate codecs which can be selectively chosen. (abstract) However, Gao does not teach parameter adaptation or execution of common functions. (See Remarks, 5/27/2009, pages 14-15)

Peng teaches a speech coder for coding an information signal varies the codebook configuration based on parameters inherent in the information signal. (abstract) However, Peng does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Art Unit: 2626

Marchok teaches a centralized voice activity detection which provides an indication of voice activity to a plurality of voice processing blocks. (abstract) However, Marchok does not address multimode coding where a shared functional unit processes common input signal by the coders;

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### ***Conclusion***

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREG A. BORSETTI whose telephone number is (571)270-3885, (FAX: 571-270-4885). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (8am - 5pm Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHEMOND DORVIL can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Greg A. Borsetti/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2626

/Richemond Dorvil/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626